**Sample Papers Scavenger Hunt!**

**In both papers, please find:**

1. Thesis statement.

2. Explanation of Midgley’s argument

3. Objection to Midgley’s argument

4. Reply to the objection.

5. Introduction and conclusion.

6. Bibliography.

**In Sally Sample’s paper, please find:**

7. At least one properly cited quotation.

8. A place where Sally clarifies or defines a term.

9. Some examples of “signposting” (where Sally reminds the reader where she is in the argument, or what part of the paper she is on).

10. A place where Sally sets out a “road map” for the paper (detailing what will happen or has happened in the argument).

**In Steven Sample’s paper, please find:**

7. At least one properly cited quotation.

8. An improperly cited (or uncited) quotation.

9. At least one detail that is not relevant to the topic of the paper.

10. A place where Steven lists multiple arguments all at once rather than focusing on one at a time.

11. A grammatical error.

12. A place where Steven makes some claims that are not supported by previous arguments in the paper.

13. A place where Steven includes a quotation from Midgley without explaining or connecting it to the rest of the paragraph.

\*\* Please note: Sally’s paper is not perfect, and you do not need to emulate it exactly. But it is written to exemplify many of the traits we’re looking for in a good philosophy paper. Also, Steven’s paper is in many ways a great paper. It possesses all of the requisite parts (intro, argument summary, objection, reply, conclusion), including an original and relevant reply to an objection, which is pretty awesome. But it also has a lot of weaknesses that are common in intro-level undergraduate papers.